Evaluation of bioactive glass and hydroxyapatite crystals as pulpotomy agents in primary molars: A clinical study
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Abstract

Aim: To clinically and radiographically evaluate the potential of bioactive glass and hydroxyapatite crystals as pulpotomy agents in primary molars. Methods: A total of fifty teeth were selected from 25 children (14 boys and 11 girls) aged 4 to 9 years, who had no medical condition that would contraindicate pulp therapy. Each child had at least two primary molars (first and/or second primary molar) requiring pulpotomy. A conventional pulpotomy procedure was performed on the selected teeth using bioactive glass and hydroxyapatite crystals. All molars were evaluated clinically and radiographically at regular intervals over 12 months. The results were subjected to statistical analysis using Fischer exact test. Results: One hundred percent clinical success and 84% radiographic success was observed in both the groups at the end of the study period. Conclusions: The study concluded that both bioactive glass and hydroxyapatite crystals can be used as pulpotomy agents in primary molars.
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INTRODUCTION

Pediatric endodontics focuses on keeping the pulp of primary teeth vital until their physiological resorption in order to maintain the space between teeth, prevent detrimental tongue and speech habits, preserve esthetics, and maintain chewing function.1,2 Pulpotomy in primary dentition is a common therapeutic procedure which involves surgical amputation of the coronal infected portion of the vital pulp followed by the application of a medicament over the residual radicular pulp tissue to promote healing. Successful pulpotomy procedure depends not only on the correct diagnosis of the inflamed dental pulp, but also on the selection of an effective and biocompatible medicament.1,3 The ideal pulpotomy material should be bactericidal and harmless to cells and surrounding structures, promote healing of the pulp tissue and not interfere with the physiologic root resorption.5-6

Regeneration is a procedure to stimulate dentinal bridge or reparative dentin formation. There are various regenerative agents for pulpotomy such as calcium hydroxide, Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA), bone morphogenic protein, enamel matrix derivative, lyophilized freeze dried platelet derived proteins and calcium enriched mixture.7-12

A material is said to be bioactive if it gives an appropriate biologic response and results in the formation of bond between material and the tissue. Bioactive glass is silicate based, containing calcium and phosphate. It is currently regarded as the most biocompatible material in the field of bone regeneration due to its bioactivity, osteoconductivity, osteoinductivity, hemostasis and its antibacterial property.13 Hydroxyapatite is the main inorganic component found in human hard tissues, i.e. tooth and bone. It is similar in crystallography and chemical composition to that of human hard tissue and has other properties like biocompatibility, bioactivity and steoconductivity. It is non-toxic and is non-inflammatory in nature.14

Both BAG and HA crystals are regenerative materials which have been extensively used for bone repair and bone grafting procedures, repair of mechanical bifurcation perforations, apical barrier formation, fillers for reinforcing restorative glass ionomer cement and composite resin. They have been effective as desensitizing agent post bleaching; for treating early carious lesions and as a remineralizing agent in toothpastes. Despite the ostensible abilities of hydroxyapatite crystals and bioactive glass to be osteoconductive, osteogenic and dentinogenic little research has been done on their application as pulpotomy agents.15 The null hypothesis was to test whether two materials with remineralizing properties (Bioactive glass and Hydroxyapatite crystals) would be successful as pulpotomy agents in primary molars. Hence, the purpose of this clinical study was to assess the potential of bioactive glass and hydroxyapatite crystals as pulpotomy agents in primary molars.

METHODS

A randomized, split-mouth clinical trial was performed. Ethical clearance to conduct the study was obtained from the institutional review board of The Oxford Dental College, Hospital and Research Centre, Bangalore, Karnataka, India (Institutional Ethical Review Board: IERB), (315/2012-2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients and parents. The present prospective study was carried on normal, healthy and cooperative children from the patients attending the Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry of The Oxford Dental College, Hospital and Research Centre, Bommanhalli, Bangalore, India. The trial adhered to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines.

Estimation of sample size

The sample size was estimated based on assumption with 90% improvement. Hence, it is estimated with 5% significance level, 80% power and 20% allowable error of 80%. The following formula provided the required sample size as follow:

\[ n = \left[ \frac{Z_{\alpha} + Z_{1-\beta}}{E} \right]^2 pq \]

where \( n \) = Estimated sample size, \( Z \) = 1.96 for 5% significance level, \( Z1- \beta = 0.841 \) for 80% power, \( p = 90 \), \( q = 10 \), \( E = 18 \), by substituting this value \( n = 22 \), assuming 10% dropout, the sample size will be \( n = 22 + 3 = 25 \). So, a total of
fifty teeth were selected from 25 children (14 boys and 11 girls) aged 4 to 9 years, who had no medical condition that would contraindicate pulp therapy. Each child had at least two primary molars (first and/or second primary molar) requiring pulpotomy. The parents/guardians were informed about the condition of the child's dentition and the nature of the study was briefly explained. A brief history was recorded and the teeth were subjected to clinical examination and radiographic evaluation prior to the study. Participation in the study was voluntary and prior written informed consent was taken.

The clinical inclusion criteria selected for the study were as follows:

- Vital primary molars with probable carious pulp exposure
- Absence of spontaneous pain or persistent pain or nocturnal pain
- No clinical symptoms or evidence of pulp degeneration such as pain on percussion,
- No history of swelling or sinus tracts;
- Restorable tooth structure should be present
- Hemorrhage from the amputation site is bright red and easy to control

Radiographic inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Radiographic evidence of not more than one-third of physiologic root resorption
- Absence of furcal and/or periapical radiolucency
- Absence of radiographic evidence of internal or external root resorption
- Absence of pathologic root resorption

Exclusion criteria were as follow:

- Any of the above clinical or radiographic inclusion criteria are not met
- Remaining radicular tissue of the tooth was non-vital (suppuration/purulent necrosis)
- Hemostasis could not be achieved within 5 minutes by direct contact with a wet cotton pellet, prior to material placement.

In this split mouth study design, allocation of the primary molars to either bioactive glass (25 teeth) or hydroxyapatite crystals (25 teeth) group was randomized by the toss of a coin. In each child, one molar was treated with BAG and the other molar with HA crystals and divided in two groups; in Group I- pulpotomy was done using BAG and in Group II- pulpotomy was done using HA crystals.

A conventional pulpotomy procedure was performed on the selected teeth. Following administration of local anesthesia, rubber dam isolation was carried out. All dental caries and overhanging enamel was removed with a No. 330 high speed bur (Dentsply, USA) with water spray. Removal of all carious dentin was carried out with the use of a sterile No. 4 or 8 round slow speed bur (Dentsply, USA) and access to coronal pulp was gained and the entire roof of the pulp chamber was removed. A spoon excavator, large enough to extend across the entrance of the individual root canals was used to amputate the coronal pulp. The pulp stumps were cleanly excised until the root canal orifices could be seen, with no tags remaining on the pulpal floor. Gentle wash out of the debris was carried out with the water syringe. After completion of the amputation, hemorrhage was controlled using slightly moistened cotton pellets placed against the pulp stumps at the orifices of the root canals.

BAG (PerioglasR Bioactive Synthetic Bone Graft Particulate 45S5, Average particle size: 90-700 microns, Unit size (Cup): 0.3 cm, Novabone Perioglass Florida, USA) is usually available in either cup or syringe form. In the present study, according to the manufacturer instructions, 2-3 drops of sterile saline was added into the cup containing 0.5cc of BAG with the particle size measuring 90-700µm. Once all the particles were wet with saline, the excess solution was blotted with sterile cotton. The mix was transferred to the root canal orifices using an amalgam carrier in order to standardize the quantity of the material placed. The material was condensed lightly using cylindrical condenser to confine the material placement at the root canal orifices. Bioactive glass was easy to place because of its cohesiveness.

HA crystals (G- Bone, SHAG 21, Synthetic Hydroxyapatite Granules, Average particle size: 0.4-0.9 mm, Hydroxyapatite crystal- Surgiwear G bone, Shahjahanpur, India) are available either in the syringe or cubes form. In the present study, the HA crystals dispensed in the syringe form.
measuring 1cc in quantity with the average particle size of 400-900µm was used. Due to its larger particle size, the material was grounded to small particles using a mortar and pestle.

After the placement of the regenerative agents, the pulp chamber was filled to about half its volume with a thick mixture of zinc oxide eugenol cement and access cavity was restored with miracle mix (GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Following rubber dam removal, the occlusion was checked for high points using articulating paper. Immediate post-operative intraoral periapical radiographs were taken. In both the groups, final restoration with stainless steel crown (3M, ESPE, USA) was done within 1 week following the pulpotomy procedure.

The patients were recalled for clinical and radiographic evaluation after 1, 3, 6 and 12 month intervals. They were also instructed to report to the hospital on the development of any symptoms regarding the treated teeth, during the intervening 1-year period.

The pulpotomized teeth were judged as clinically successful when they met the following criteria:

- Absence of pain, sensitivity or swelling.
- No tenderness to percussion
- Absence of an abscess or fistulation
- No pathologic mobility or premature exfoliation

Radiographic success criteria included the presence of a normal periodontal ligament space, absence of periapical/ furcal radiolucency, and absence of internal or external root resorption.

Table 1. Distribution of pulpotomized primary molars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary molars</th>
<th>Group I (BAG)</th>
<th>Group II (HA)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular 1st molar</td>
<td>15(60)</td>
<td>16(64)</td>
<td>31(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular 2nd molar</td>
<td>5(20)</td>
<td>6(24)</td>
<td>11(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary 1st molar</td>
<td>4(16)</td>
<td>3(12)</td>
<td>7(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary 2nd molar</td>
<td>1(4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25(100)</td>
<td>25(100)</td>
<td>50(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Clinical evaluation of both groups at 1,3,6 and 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Period of evaluation (months)</th>
<th>Period of evaluation (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of pain</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness on percussion</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistulation</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic mobility</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature exfoliation</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
<td>n(%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis

Data was collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed. Data analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0. Chicago: SPSS Inc. Fischer exact test was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

All 50 teeth were available till the end of the study (Table 1). One hundred percent success was observed clinically at all evaluation periods in both the groups (Table 2).
Radiographically 100% success was seen at one month evaluation in both the groups (Table 3). At three month evaluation, periodontal ligament space widening was seen in two teeth (8%) and furcal radiolucency was seen with regard to three teeth (12%) in group I. In the Group II, two teeth (8%) showed periodontal ligament space widening and one tooth (4%) showed furcal radiolucency. At the six month of evaluation, furcal radiolucency was seen in four teeth (16%) and external root resorption was observed in two teeth (8%) in group I. In Group II, periodontal ligament space widening was seen in one tooth.

At the end of study period, furcal radiolucency was seen in two teeth (8%) and external root resorption in two teeth (8%) in the Group I. In the Group II, periodontal ligament space widening in one tooth (4%), furcal radiolucency in one tooth (4%) and external root resorption in two teeth (8%) was observed.

Overall, one hundred percent radiographic success was seen at one month follow up in both the groups. At the third month 80% success was observed in group I and group II revealed 88% success. At the sixth month follow up, in Group I and Group II, 76% and 84% success was seen respectively. At twelfth month follow up, similar success of 84% was observed in both the groups; p > 0.05 was not significant. Figure 1 shows a representative picture of preoperative intra oral periapical radiograph showing extensive carious lesions in mandibular primary molars, with more than two thirds of root length, and absence of furcal/ periapical radiolucency. Figure 2, 3, 4, and 5 represents the follow-up radiographs of a pulpotomized 2nd molar teeth after 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively.

| Table 3. Radiographic evaluation of both groups at 1,3,6 and 12 months |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **RADIOGRAPHIC CRITERIA** | GROUP - I (n=25)          | GROUP – II (n=25)         | **P value**               |
|                          | 1month | 3month | 6month | 12month | 1month | 3month | 6month | 12month |         |
| Periodontal ligament space widening | 0(0%)  | 2(8%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 2(8%)  | 1(4%)  | 1(4%)  | 0.25     |
| Furcal radiolucency       | 0(0%)  | 3(12%) | 4(16%) | 2(8%)  | 0(0%)  | 1(4%)  | 3(12%) | 1(4%)  | 0.30     |
| Periapical radiolucency   | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 1.0      |
| External root resorption  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 2(8%)  | 2(8%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 2(8%)  | 0.50     |
| Internal root resorption  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 0(0%)  | 1.0      |
DISCUSSION

Recent research into endodontic materials has focused on what is called the “biological era”. With greater understanding of the pulp biology, pathophysiology and its power of healing, the emphasis has shifted to the regeneration of pulp. Several agents such as calcium hydroxide, MTA, bone morphogenic protein have been successfully used as regenerative pulpotomy agents.\(^8,^{12,16}\) Amongst various regenerative agents, BAG and HA crystals have been assessed due to their biocompatibility, bioinductivity, antibacterial property, osteogenicity, and the ability to stimulate hard tissue formation in the field of medicine and dentistry.\(^7\) Studies on their application in pediatric dentistry is limited because of the economic, commercial reasons and also taking into account the time of survival of the primary tooth in the oral cavity.\(^18,^{19}\) Therefore, in the present study, BAG and HA crystals were selected for use as regenerative pulpotomy agents in primary molars.

In order to make comparison of the two materials possible, the selected children had to have at least two primary molars requiring pulpotomy. For ethical reasons, every child had one primary molar each, treated with BAG and HA crystals. Hence, no child was withheld from the benefits of either of the two pulpotomy agents. It also ensured direct comparison of both the medicaments within the same mouth.

Beyond the pulpotomy material properties, the biological sealing after pulpotomy is fundamental for the pulp treatment success.\(^18\) Therefore, in this study all the pulpotomized teeth were initially restored using zinc oxide eugenol cement as a sub base immediately following application of both the regenerative agents due to its lack of mechanical strength, followed by miracle mix (GC Corporation: Tokyo, Japan) because of its good compressive strength and direct bond to tooth structure.\(^17,^{20}\)

However, it is imperative that a permanent restoration be placed as soon as possible for the overall success of the treatment. In order to achieve an enhanced seal and to compensate for potential marginal leakage of the restoration, all the molars were restored with stainless steel crowns (3M ESPE, USA) within one week post operatively. Stainless steel crowns (SSCs) were most suitable since all these primary molars had sufficient longevity with considerable time for exfoliation to occur. SSCs are always considered superior to multisurface restorations in primary molars. Failure in other types of permanent restorations include fractures, ditches and secondary caries, that require re-treatment.
whereas, with stainless steel crowns there could only be a need for re-cementation of crowns.21,22

The treatment outcome of any study is largely determined by the criteria used to evaluate whether, any treatment procedure has been “successful”. In the present study, pulpotomy was regarded as a success in the absence of clinical signs and symptoms such as pain, swelling, fistula and/or radiographic evidence including periapical or furcal radiolucency and external or internal root resorption.12,22

Throughout the entire evaluation period none of the primary molars treated with BAG showed any clinical signs and symptoms. BAG has shown to provide enhanced seal over the vital pulp as it does not deteriorate, disintegrate and resorb with time.23 The mechanism underlying the binding of BAG to collagen fibers may be the result of a rapid surface reaction that takes place on the glass when exposed to physiological solutions. There will be rapid exchange and release of ions that attack the silica glass network which leads to formation of silanols at the glass solution interface. The continuous condensation of silanols will form SiO2 rich gel layer. The ions released from the BAG to the surface through the SiO2 rich layer binds with the reactive groups of the collagen structure resulting in the formation of HCA layer (Hydroxyapatite Carbonate Layer). As dentin matrix comprises of 90% collagen fibers, the adherence of the reacted surface of the bioactive glass particle to underlying tissue forms stronger chemical bond.24,25 This bonding provides matrix for mineralization and hermetic seal to prevent microleakage. This could be one of the reasons for clinical success observed in group I, wherein BAG was used as a pulpotomy agent.

BAG also has an antibacterial potential due to its ability to raise the pH in an aqueous suspension which is not well tolerated by bacterial cells.26 Silica levels are also reported to be responsible for the antibacterial nature of the bioactive glass.27

All the teeth in group I were evaluated as radiographically successful at one month postoperative evaluation. This result is in accordance with earlier in-vivo studies.22,28

Radiographically, at three months in Group I (BAG), two primary molars (8%) showed periodontal ligament space widening and furcal radiolucency was observed in three additional primary molars (12%). This could be due to a protective inflammatory response of the body to BAG which is a foreign material.23,29 This initial inflammatory response may also be due to the high alkalinity at the site of application. When BAG is exposed to physiological solutions or body fluids, it rapidly releases ions such as Na+, K+, Mg+2 and Ca+2. There will be cation exchange processes which will increase the concentration of hydroxyl ions at the BAG/solution interface, thereby raising the pH to around 10.5,24,25,30

In fact, the inflammatory process continued to be evident even at 6 months, the periodontal ligament space widening seen with one of the primary molars progressed to involve the furcation area. External root resorption seen in two teeth could have also been due to the inflammatory reaction of tissue to BAG.

In group II (HA), all teeth were clinically successful throughout the evaluation period. Hydroxyapatite crystals are more biocompatible as their stoichiometry is similar to tooth structure.31 They are compatible with fibroblasts, osteoclasts, osteoblasts and periodontal ligament cells. Apart from two primary molars with periodontal ligament space widening, furcal radiolucency was observed in one primary molar (4%) at three months evaluation. In the present study, the initially larger sized crystals of HA were ground to fine particle size in order to improve its handling characteristics and for convenient placement of the material at the application site. Higashi et al.32 reported that tissue inflammatory response was seen more with usage of smaller particle size in comparison to larger particle size. Similarly, the placement of smaller sized HA crystals could have triggered an inflammatory response that manifested as furcal radiolucency in three primary molars at the end of 6 months evaluation. These results were in accordance with a previous study.33

At the twelfth month of evaluation, external root resorption was visible in two of the furcally involved teeth. It could be a result of smaller particle size and irregular shape of the hydroxyapatite crystals. The other reason could be
due to inflammation that could not be diagnosed clinically or due to individual immunological response of patients to the regenerative agent.34

As per the clinical criteria used in the present study, all the fifty pulpotomized primary molars treated in both the groups were evaluated as successful. In both groups, furcation radiolucency and external root resorption were observed to occur more frequently in first primary molars, probably due to their smaller crown size and highly situated pulpal horns. This is in accordance with that of previous studies, which showed a higher prevalence of pulpotomy failures in first primary molars.35-36

During the initial period of evaluation, a higher percentage of radiographic success was seen with teeth treated with HA. However, at the end of 12 months, with bioactive glass, healing and regeneration of tissue resulted in success (84%) that was comparable with HA crystals. The statistical analysis showed p>0.05 which was not significant.

Based on the observations of this study, both BAG and HA crystals appear to be successful pulpotomy agents in primary molars. Presently one of the factors limiting the routine use of these materials is the high cost of these materials. Since they are difficult to store, it is recommended to discard any material remaining after its usage. Moreover, in primary molars the quantity of the material used is minimal. Both materials are considered to be technique sensitive. Therefore, economic usage of both materials is not feasible. With growing concerns regarding the use of formocresol, BAG and HA crystals can be the preferred choice for pulpotomy agents in primary molars due to their biocompatibility and regenerative properties. Longitudinal studies for more than a year including histologic evaluation of these teeth following exfoliation should be done to study the pulpal response towards these agents. Their effect on development and eruption of the underlying succedaneous tooth is also a potential topic for research.

Given their high success rate, BAG and HA can be considered as suitable regenerative agents for pulpotomy procedure in primary teeth.

CONCLUSIONS

One hundred percent clinical success was observed throughout the evaluation period in both the groups. The radiographic evaluation revealed 100%, 80%, 76% and 84% success at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months respectively with BAG as pulpotomy agent. In Group II, radiographically 100% and 88% success were seen at 1 and 3 months respectively. A similar success of 84% was observed at both 6 and 12 months radiographic evaluation with HA crystals. There was no statistically significant difference between the two materials on both clinical and radiographic evaluation at the end of the study period.

This observation advocates further clinical, radiographic and histologic studies with longer follow-up till the period of tooth exfoliation to ascertain the efficacy of these novel regenerative pulpotomy agents.
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